

Sec. 6. HEALTH CARE SYSTEM DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

(a)(1)(A) By February 1, 2011, one or more consultants of the joint legislative commission on health care reform established in chapter 25 of Title 2 shall propose to the general assembly and the governor at least three design options, including implementation plans, for creating a single system of health care which ensures all Vermonters have access to and coverage for affordable, quality health services through a public or private single-payer or multipayer system and that meets the principles and goals outlined in Secs. 2 and 3 of this act. The proposal shall contain the analysis and recommendations as provided for in subsection (g) of this section.

(B) By January 1, 2011, the consultant shall release a draft of the design options to the public and provide 15 days for public review and the submission of comments on the design options. The consultant shall review and consider the public comments and revise the draft design options as necessary prior to the final submission to the general assembly and the governor.

(2)(A) One option shall design a government-administered and publicly financed "single-payer" health benefits system decoupled from employment which prohibits insurance coverage for the health services provided by this system and allows for private insurance coverage only of supplemental health services.

(B) One option shall design a public health benefit option administered by state government, which allows individuals to choose between the public option and private insurance coverage and allows for fair and robust competition among public and private plans.

(C) A third and any additional options shall be designed by the consultant, in consultation with the commission, taking into consideration the principles in Sec. 2 of this act, the goals in Sec. 3, and the parameters described in this section.

(3) Each design option shall include sufficient detail to allow the governor and the general assembly to consider the adoption of one design during the 2011 legislative session and to initiate implementation of the new system through a phased process beginning no later than July 1, 2012.

(b)(1) No later than 45 days after enactment, the commission shall propose to the joint fiscal committee a recommendation, including the requested amount, for one or more outside consultants who have demonstrated experience in designing health care systems that have expanded coverage and

BILL AS INTRODUCED AND PASSED BY SENATE AND HOUSE S.88
2009 Page 54

contained costs to provide the expertise necessary to do the analysis and design required by this act. Within seven days of the commission's proposal, the joint fiscal committee shall meet and may accept, reject, or modify the commission's proposal.

(2) The commission shall serve as a resource for the consultant by providing information and feedback to the consultant upon request, by recommending additional resources, and by receiving periodic progress reports by the consultant as needed. In order to maintain the independence of the consultant, the commission shall not direct the consultant's recommendations or proposal.

(c) In creating the designs, the consultant shall review and consider the following fundamental elements:

(1) the findings and reports from previous studies of health care reform in Vermont, including the Universal Access Plan Report from the health care authority, November 1, 1993; reports from the Hogan Commission; relevant studies provided to the state of Vermont by the Lewin Group; and studies and reports provided to the commission.

(2) existing health care systems or components thereof in other states or countries as models.

(3) Vermont's current health care reform efforts as defined in 3 V.S.A. § 2222a.

(4) the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010; Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA); and Titles XVIII (Medicare), XIX (Medicaid), and XXI (SCHIP) of the Social Security Act.

(d) Each design option shall propose a single system of health care which maximizes the federal funds to support the system and is composed of the following components, which are described in subsection (e) of this section:

(1) a payment system for health services which includes one or more packages of health services providing for the integration of physical and mental health; budgets, payment methods, and a process for determining payment amounts; and cost reduction and containment mechanisms;

(2) coordinated regional delivery systems;

(3) health system planning, regulation, and public health;

(4) financing and estimated costs, including federal financings; and

(5) a method to address compliance of the proposed design option or options with federal law.

**BILL AS INTRODUCED AND PASSED BY SENATE AND HOUSE S.88
2009 Page 55**

(e) In creating the design options, the consultant shall include the following components for each option:

(1) A payment system for health services.

(A)(i) Packages of health services. In order to allow the general assembly a choice among varied packages of health services in each design option, the consultant shall provide at least two packages of health services providing for the integration of physical and mental health as further described in subdivision (A)(ii) of this subdivision (1) as part of each design option.

(ii)(I) Each design option shall include one package of health services which includes access to and coverage for primary care, preventive care, chronic care, acute episodic care, palliative care, hospice care, hospital services, prescription drugs, and mental health and substance abuse services.

(II) For each design option, the consultant shall consider including at least one additional package of health services, which includes the services described in subdivision (A)(ii)(I) of this subdivision (1) and coverage for supplemental health services, such as home- and community-based services, services in nursing homes, payment for transportation related to health services, or dental, hearing, or vision services.

(iii)(I) For each proposed package of health services, the

consultant shall consider including a cost-sharing proposal that may provide a waiver of any deductible and other cost-sharing payments for chronic care for individuals participating in chronic care management and for preventive care.

(II) For each proposed package of health services, the consultant shall consider including a proposal that has no cost-sharing. If this proposal is included, the consultant shall provide the cost differential between subdivision (A)(iii)(I) of this subdivision (I) and this subdivision (II).

(B) Administration. The consultant shall include a recommendation for:

(i) a method for administering payment for health services, which may include administration by a government agency, under an open bidding process soliciting bids from insurance carriers or third-party administrators, through private insurers, or a combination.

(ii) enrollment processes.

(iii) integration of the pharmacy best practices and cost control program established by 33 V.S.A. §§ 1996 and 1998 and other mechanisms to promote evidence-based prescribing, clinical efficacy, and cost-containment, such as a single statewide preferred drug list, prescriber education, or
BILL AS INTRODUCED AND PASSED BY SENATE AND HOUSE S.88
2009 Page 56

utilization reviews.

(iv) appeals processes for decisions made by entities or agencies administering coverage for health services.

(C) Budgets and payments. Each design shall include a recommendation for budgets, payment methods, and a process for determining payment amounts. Payment methods for mental health services shall be consistent with mental health parity. The consultant shall consider:

(i) amendments necessary to current law on the unified health care budget, including consideration of cost-containment mechanisms or targets, anticipated revenues available to support the expenditures, and other appropriate considerations, in order to establish a statewide spending target within which costs are controlled, resources directed, and quality and access assured.

(ii) how to align the unified health care budget with the health resource allocation plan under 18 V.S.A. § 9405; the hospital budget review process under 18 V.S.A. § 9456; and the proposed global budgets and payments, if applicable and recommended in a design option.

(iii) recommending a global budget where it is appropriate to ensure cost-containment by a health care facility, health care provider, a group of health care professionals, or a combination. Any recommendation shall include a process for developing a global budget, including circumstances under which an entity may seek an amendment of its budget, and any changes to the hospital budget process in 18 V.S.A. § 9456.

(iv) payment methods to be used for each health care sector which are aligned with the goals of this act and provide for cost-containment, provision of high quality, evidence-based health services in a coordinated setting, patient self-management, and healthy lifestyles. Payment methods may include:

(I) periodic payments based on approved annual global

budgets;

(II) capitated payments;

(III) incentive payments to health care professionals based on performance standards, which may include evidence-based standard physiological measures, or if the health condition cannot be measured in that manner, a process measure, such as the appropriate frequency of testing or appropriate prescribing of medications;

(IV) fee supplements if necessary to encourage specialized health care professionals to offer a specific, necessary health service which is
BILL AS INTRODUCED AND PASSED BY SENATE AND HOUSE S.88
2009 Page 57

not available in a specific geographic region;

(V) diagnosis-related groups;

(VI) global payments based on a global budget, including whether the global payment should be population-based, cover specific line items, provide a mixture of a lump sum payment, diagnosis-related group (DRG) payments, incentive payments for participation in the Blueprint for Health, quality improvements, or other health care reform initiatives as defined in 3 V.S.A. § 2222a; and

(VII) fee for service.

(v) what process or processes are appropriate for determining payment amounts with the intent to ensure reasonable payments to health care professionals and providers and to eliminate the shift of costs between the payers of health services by ensuring that the amount paid to health care professionals and providers is sufficient. Payment amounts should be in an amount which provides reasonable access to health services, provides sufficient uniform payment to health care professionals, and assists to create financial stability of health care professionals. Payment amounts shall be consistent with mental health parity. The consultant shall consider the following processes:

(I) Negotiations with hospitals, health care professionals, and groups of health care professionals;

(II) Establishing a global payment for health services provided by a particular hospital, health care provider, or group of professionals and providers. In recommending a process for determining a global payment, the consultant shall consider the interaction with a global budget and other information necessary to the determination of the appropriate payment, including all revenue received from other sources. The recommendation may include that the global payment be reflected as a specific line item in the annual budget.

(III) Negotiating a contract including payment methods and amounts with any out-of-state hospital or other health care provider that regularly treats a sufficient volume of Vermont residents, including contracting with out-of-state hospitals or health care providers for the provision of specialized health services that are not available locally to Vermonters.

(IV) Paying the amount charged for a medically necessary health service for which the individual received a referral or for an emergency health service customarily covered and received in an out-of-state hospital with which there is not an established contract;

(V) Developing a reference pricing system for nonemergency
BILL AS INTRODUCED AND PASSED BY SENATE AND HOUSE S.88
2009 Page 58

health services usually covered which are received in an out-of-state hospital or by a health care provider with which there is not a contract.

(VI) Utilizing one or more health care professional bargaining groups provided for in 18 V.S.A. § 9409, consisting of health care professionals who choose to participate and may propose criteria for forming and approving bargaining groups, and criteria and procedures for negotiations authorized by this section.

(D) Cost-containment. Each design shall include cost reduction and containment mechanisms. If the design option includes private insurers, the option may include a fee assessed on insurers combined with a global budget to streamline administration of health services.

(2) Coordinated regional health systems. The consultant shall propose in each design a coordinated regional health system, which ensures that the delivery of health services to the citizens of Vermont is coordinated in order to improve health outcomes, improve the efficiency of the health system, and improve patients' experience of health services. The consultant shall review and analyze Vermont's existing efforts to reform the delivery of health care, including the Blueprint for Health described in chapter 13 of Title 18, and consider whether to build on or improve current reform efforts. In designing coordinated regional health systems, the consultant shall consider:

(A) how to ensure that health professionals, hospitals, health care facilities, and home- and community-based service providers offer health services in a coordinated manner designed to optimize health services at a lower cost, to reduce redundancies in the health system as a whole, and to improve quality;

(B) the creation of regional mechanisms to solicit public input for the regional health system; conduct a community needs assessment for incorporation into the health resources allocation plan; and plan for community health needs based on the community needs assessment; and

(C) the development of a regional entity, organization, or another mechanism to manage health services for that region's population, which may include making budget recommendations and resource allocations for the region; providing oversight and evaluation regarding the delivery of care in its region; developing payment methodologies and incentive payments; or other functions necessary to manage the region's health system.

(3) Health system planning, regulation, and public health. The consultant shall evaluate the existing mechanisms for health system and facility planning and for assessing quality indicators and outcomes and shall evaluate public health initiatives, including the health resource allocation

BILL AS INTRODUCED AND PASSED BY SENATE AND HOUSE S.88
2009 Page 59

plan, the certificate of need process, the Blueprint for Health, the statewide health information exchange, services provided by the Vermont Program for Quality in Health Care, and community prevention programs.

(4) Financing and estimated costs, including federal financing. The consultant shall provide:

(A) an estimate of the total costs of each design option, including any additional costs for providing access to and coverage for health services to the uninsured and underinsured; any estimated costs necessary to build a new system; and any estimated savings from implementing a single system.

(B) financing proposals for sustainable revenue, including by maximizing federal revenues, or reductions from existing health care programs, services, state agencies, or other sources necessary for funding the cost of the new system.

(C) a proposal to the Centers on Medicare and Medicaid Services to waive provisions of Titles XVIII (Medicare), XIX (Medicaid), and XXI (SCHIP) of the Social Security Act if necessary to align the federal programs with the proposals contained within the design options in order to maximize federal funds or to promote the simplification of administration, cost-containment, or promotion of health care reform initiatives as defined by 3 V.S.A. § 2222a.

(D) a proposal to participate in a federal insurance exchange established by the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 in order to maximize federal funds and, if applicable, a waiver from these provisions when available.

(5) A method to address compliance of the proposed design option or options with federal law if necessary, including the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010; Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA); and Titles XVIII (Medicare), XIX (Medicaid), and XXI (SCHIP) of the Social Security Act. In the case of ERISA, the consultant may propose a strategy to seek an ERISA exemption from Congress if necessary for one of the design options.

(f)(1) The agency of human services and the department of banking, insurance, securities, and health care administration shall collaborate to ensure the commission and its consultant have the information necessary to create the design options.

(2) The consultant may request legal and fiscal assistance from the office of legislative council and the joint fiscal office.

(3) The commission or its consultant may engage with interested parties,
BILL AS INTRODUCED AND PASSED BY SENATE AND HOUSE S.88
2009 Page 60

such as health care providers and professionals, patient advocacy groups, and insurers, as necessary in order to have a full understanding of health care in Vermont.

(g) In the proposal and implementation plan provided to the general assembly and the governor as provided for in subsection (a) of this section, the consultant shall include:

(1) A recommendation for key indicators to measure and evaluate the design option chosen by the general assembly.

(2) An analysis of each design option, including:

(A) the financing and cost estimates outlined in subdivision (e)(4) of this section;

(B) the impacts on the current private and public insurance system;

(C) the expected net fiscal impact, including tax implications, on

individuals and on businesses from the modifications to the health care system proposed in the design;

(D) impacts on the state's economy;

(E) the pros and cons of alternative timing for the implementation of each design, including the sequence and rationale for the phasing in of the major components; and

(F) the pros and cons of each design option and of no changes to the current system.

(3) A comparative analysis of the coverage, benefits, payments, health care delivery, and other features in each design option with Vermont's current health care system and health care reform efforts, the new federal insurance exchange, insurance regulatory provisions, and other provisions in the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 . The comparative analysis should be in a format to allow the general assembly to compare easily each design option with the current system and efforts. If appropriate, the analysis shall include a comparison of financial or other changes in Medicaid and Medicaidfunded programs in a format currently used by the department of Vermont health access in order to compare the estimates for the design option to the most current actual expenditures available.

(4) A recommendation for which of the design options best meets the principles and goals outlined in Secs. 2 and 3 of this act in an affordable, timely, and efficient manner. The recommendation section of the proposal shall not be finalized until after the receipt of public input as provided for in subdivision (a)(1)(B) of this section.

**BILL AS INTRODUCED AND PASSED BY SENATE AND HOUSE S.88
2009 Page 61**

(h) After receipt of the proposal and implementation plan pursuant to subdivision (g)(2) of this section, the general assembly shall solicit input from interested members of the public and engage in a full and open public review and hearing process on the proposal and implementation plan.